Action Plan 20232024
dry ridge elementary CARDINALS

Dry Ridge Elementary School

| Big Ideas | Name/Title | Corresponding State Goal | Measures of Success | Funding |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Big Idea 1 | High Quality Teaching and Learning | * State assessment results in reading and math <br> * State assessment results in science, social <br> studies and writing <br> * Achievement gap <br> * EL Progress | IP walkthrough data, Student formative assessment data, MAP data, KSA data, and RTI data. | SBDM Council, Title I, and ESSR funds as needed |  |
| Big Idea 2 | Provide a safe and healthy culture for students, staff, parents, and the community. | * Quality of School Climate and Safety | Measurement of new PBIS plan through PBIS Rewards, Leadership and School Effectiveness Survey data | SBDM Council, Title I, and ESSR funds as needed |  |
| Big Idea 3 | Continue to grow the efficacy of our teachers in the Grant County Way Special Education Model. | * State assessment results in reading and math <br> * State assessment results in science, social studies and writing <br> * Achievement gap <br> * EL Progress | IP Walkthrough data on SPED Teachers, and identified PGP goals reviewed during grade level PLC meetings. | SBDM Council, Title I, and ESSR funds as needed |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Green | Yellow | Red | Strikethrough |  |  |
| COMPLETE | IN PROGRESS | HAD TO BE MOVED OR HAVE NOT DONEITYET | Abandoned |  |  |

## Dry Ridge Elementary School 2023-2024 Objectives (Based on Needs Assessment)

| 2024 Objectives | STATE ACCOUNTABILITY AREA | Key Core Work Processes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| By the end of the 23-24 <br> school year, 50\% of <br> students will demonstrate <br> proficiency in reading and <br> math as measured by the <br> Kentucky Summative <br> Assessment. | State Assessment Results in Reading and <br> Mathematics | KCWP 1: Design and Deploy <br> Standards <br> KCWP 2: Design and Deliver |
|  |  | Instruction <br> KCWP 3: Design and Deliver <br> Assessment Literacy <br> KCWP 4: Review, Analyze, and Apply <br> Data Results <br> KCWP 5: Design, Align, and Deliver <br> Support |
| KCWP 6: Establish Learning |  |  |
| Environment and Culture |  |  |

State Required Accountability Targets

| STATE ACCOUNTABILITY AREA | Status | Change | 2023 Results | 2024 STATE ASSESSMENT GOALS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Assessment Results in Reading and Math (proficient and distinguished) | 55.9 | 5 | By the end of the 22-23 school year, $50 \%$ of students will demonstrate proficiency in reading as measured by state assessment. <br> By the end of the 22-23 school year, $50 \%$ of students will demonstrate proficiency in math as measured by state assessment. | By the end of the 23-24 school year, $50 \%$ of students will demonstrate proficiency in reading as measured by state assessment. <br> By the end of the 23-24 school year, $50 \%$ of students will demonstrate proficiency in math as measured by state assessment. |
| State Assessment Results in Science, Social Studies, and Writing (proficient and distinguished) | 54.8 | -1.3 | By the end of the 22-23 school year, $50 \%$ of students will demonstrate proficiency in science as measured by state assessment. <br> By the end of the 22-23 school year, $60 \%$ of students will demonstrate proficiency in social studies as measured by state assessment. <br> By the end of the 22-23 school year, $50 \%$ of students will demonstrate proficiency in writing as measured by state assessment. | By the end of the 23-24 school year, $50 \%$ of students will demonstrate proficiency in science as measured by state assessment. <br> By the end of the 22-23 school year, $60 \%$ of students will demonstrate proficiency in social studies as measured by state assessment. <br> By the end of the 22-23 school year, $50 \%$ of students will demonstrate proficiency in writing as measured by state assessment. |
| Achievement Gap - Students with Disability Reading and Math (proficient and distinguished) | 23.1 | 3.9 | By the end of the 22-23 school year, $20 \%$ of students with disabilities will demonstrate proficiency in reading as measured by state assessment. <br> By the end of the 22-23 school year, $20 \%$ of students with disabilities will demonstrate proficiency in math as measured by state assessment. | By the end of the 23-24 school year, 20\% of students with disabilities will demonstrate proficiency in reading as measured by state assessment. <br> By the end of the 23-24 school year, 20\% of students with disabilities will demonstrate proficiency in math as measured by state assessment. |
| English Learner Progress (Access and Reading and Math proficient and distinguished) | No minimum N | No minimum N | By the end of the 22-23 school year, the growth index at DRE will increase to $55 \%$ as measured by state assessment. | By the end of the 23-24 school year, the growth index at DRE will increase to $55 \%$ as measured by state assessment. |
| Quality of School Climate and Safety | 73.7 | 0.1 |  |  |


| Big Rocks | By the end of the 23 |  | students will demomstrate | proficiency in reading and |  | state assessment. | Januar \( |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ) Activites | Fobruar activies | ren | Sorilactiviles | May Acturutes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Principal, CS and Guiding Coalion will discuss RTI system for the year and make finalize the 24-25 master schedule based on feedback at their meeting on May 7th |
| Progros Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Big Rocks | By the end of the 23. | -24 school year, A0\% of | dents will demonstrate | eproficiency in reading | Id math as measured | De assessment. | Januar Acturites | Fobray Activilies | March Acturios | Aporinctivites | May Actur |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Progess Notes | Pricipal and CS attended the training. CS sent an email to staff regarding EL PD as well as asking them what they needed from her for July. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Establish co-teaching teams. Schedule summer co- teaching PD with Debbie Mays and Kricket McClure from OVEC. Build in common planning times for our co-teaching teams in the master schedule. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

By the end of the $\mathbf{2 3 - 2 4}$ school year, $50 \%$ of students will demonstrate proficiency in reading and math as measured by state assessment.

|  | By the end of the 23-24 | 24 school year, $50 \%$ of s | dents will demonstrate p | ficiency in reading and | d math as measured by | Stite |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Big Rocks | ${ }^{\text {July Activities }}$ | ${ }^{\text {August }}$ Stativities | September Activities | October A Ativities | November Activities | December Activities | Januara Activities | (eary Activities |  | ${ }_{\text {April }}^{\text {A Activities }}$ |  |
| Progress Notes |  |  | Meeting was held with Debbie Mays on 9/18 to plan out the schedule for the co-teaching teams for the 23-24 school year Dhared a co-teaching walkthrough tool that will be with the teams in the October 6th staff update. |  | Principal conducted CoPeaching walkthroughs the the walkthrough data tool provided by OVEC <br> Debbie Mays sent a synopsis of Chapter 1 of the Co Teaching that Works book November 6th. She sent out Ihe synopsis of chapler apter 3 November 13th, and chat on 11/27. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| gress Notes |  |  | Shelley Freeman worked teachers of former EL students who have exited the active status of the ELL program. Together they created a plan for monitoring the extied students' of these students are notified of the redesignation of their student's status. Mrs. Freem provides instruction to ELL students according to their English Language Proficiency, as well as supports them to access |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Big Rocks | Big Idea \#2: dry Ridge | ge Elementary will provide | a safe, healthy, collaborative | (tive, and engaging cultur | Mre for students, staff, par | rents, and the community | Janaay Activ | maan Actium | Nachactive |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eterite |  |  | -operisisione pieswibe | -Prese saed devineesedevery week | Priessaeiedenemedederer veek | Prieses ad deteveed every wek | Priese eiedeneededere We |  | devee |  | Priesesate divierese very |
|  |  | - Prepare Family materials for initial setup including instructions and QR |  | - Make additional store purchases as needed. | - Make additional store purchases as needed. | - Make additional store purchases as needed. | - Make additional store purchases as needed. | -Mate atiolio |  | Mandeadiden storeurnases |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | -Falow upum now faniles ot | -Folow umum hevemamies not |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | - Meet families at Open house and walk them through process of adding PBIS rewards to phone and linking |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | - Assist teachers in student log-in process if needed. |  |  |  |  | 2nd Quarter Reward - January 5 | -fur Finder - Fen |  | -Fun Firas -Apm 26 |  |
|  |  | - Rerevesenomide expeations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | - Ensure that EVERY staff member is able to log in and access students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| rogies Notes | finudises and sommuny |  |  | -3.atuen sid |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | - Majority of the parents not connected have been contacted through PBIS - Expecation of students being in $85 \%$ in PBIS |  |  | giving points and make sure teachers are reaching the percentages of giving points |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | -Prepare presentation for <br> upcoming school year <br> including data from previous <br> year. | -Meet with Teachers to discuss <br> current student tiers and get <br> recommendations for small groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -Meet with Teachers to determine who will remain in what tier into the next school year. -Communicate with GCMS current 5th grade student tiers. -Review student and group data to determine effectiveness. |
| $\underset{\substack{\text { Progess } \\ \text { Noes }}}{ }$ |  | -Smal grousfieammendition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | -Searesil matals neesed. | - Meet with teachers to review SEL Curriculum that will be used. - Twice weekly Zones of Regulation lessons. |  |  | - Twice weekly Zones of Regulation lessons. - Weekly Cardinals of Character lessons. Lessons are over Respect. - SEL professional Development for all teachers on November 7 | - Twice weekly Zones of Regulation lessons. - Weekly Cardinals of Character lessons. Lessons are over Determination. - No push-in lesson due to short month. | - Twice weekly Sources of Strength lessons. - Weekly Cardinals of Character lessons. Lessons are over Integrity. - Monthly Second Steps Bullying Lessons. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | - Zones of Regulation lessons continued - chapter 4 and 5 - Kindness Guidance Lessons - November 28, 29,30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Backpack Snack Letters: <br> Home Visits: <br> Kindercamp: <br> Parent Engagement: Open House <br> Community Collaborations: | Backpack Snacks: <br> Home Visits: <br> Parent Engagement: <br> Community Collaborations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |





| Components of Turnaround Leadership Development and Support | How will you ensure that school leadership has or develops the skills and disposition to acheive accelerated, meaningful, and sustainable increases acheievement for underperformi ng subgroups? | The Principal, Curriculum Specialist, and a Teacher Representative attended the RTI at Work Conference in Glendale, Arizona The purpose of the training was to refine our RTI process and expand it to all grade level teams and departments. From that training, we have revised our master schedule to include more time for Tier 2 and Tier 3 intervention for our students. We have also trained our teachers on clear definitions for Tier 2 and Tier 3 intervention. We are currently focusing on the EL and UFLI curriculum for reading and the Georgia Numeracy Project for math intervention strategies. RTI data is reviewed by our teachers weekly in their PLT meetings and monthly by our vertical teams in the areas of math, reading, and science/social studies. Our special education teachers are members of theses teams. <br> Our Special Education Teachers were trained in implementing the UFLI curriculum during Tier 3 resource time. The teachers | Identification of Critical Resource Inequities | Describe the process used to review the allocation and use of resources, any resource inequities that were defined that may contribute to underperforma nce, and how identified resource inequities will be adderessed. | In April of the previous school year, I receive our projected allocations from the <br> Superintendent. In our building, we were <br> allocated 3 LBD Special <br> Education <br> Teachers, 2 <br> MSD Unit <br> Teachers, and 1 <br> Autism Unit <br> Teacher. Two of our LBD <br> SPED teachers have <br> experience. <br> One of our LBD <br> SPED teachers <br> is in her 2nd <br> year on an <br> Option 6 <br> certificate this <br> year. One of <br> our MSD unit <br> teachers are <br> just over a year <br> into the <br> position. The <br> other has <br> expeirence but <br> is new to our <br> building this <br> year. Our <br> Autism unit <br> teacher <br> previously had <br> general <br> education <br> teaching <br> experience but <br> no SPED <br> experience. We were also <br> allocated 4 total <br> positions for <br> SPED <br> Instructional <br> Assistants. Due to the high needs of the students, we were able to hire another SPED IA just after the school year started to give us 5 toal SPED IA's between the 3 units. We We have reviewed the <br> Maintenance of Fiscal Effort report with the Superintedent and we have found that our | Additional <br> Actions That Address the Causes of Consistently Underperformi ng Subgroups of Students | Describe the process used to review the learning culture related to your targeted subgroups and any additional actions that were determined to address the causes of underperforma nce. | In working to meeet the needs of all of our SPED students, we reached out to our District SPED team (Director of Special <br> Education, <br> District SEL and Compliance <br> Specialist, and <br> Behavior <br> Interventionist) <br> to do a full walkthrough and evaluation of our SPED program. <br> During their visit to the building, they conducted both SPED and general education classroom observations, interviewed staff members, and reviewed student IEP's to determine the amount of resource minutes needed. <br> To reinforce their visit and to gather more data, we asked the SPED specialists from the Ohio Valley Education Cooperative to observe our SPED units and offer feedback. Based on their feedback we were able to adjust our SPED Unit teacher schedule and IA schedule to ensure that our students were getting out into the general education setting and receiving grade level tier 1 instruction. We also identified the need for highly effective and structured SDI practices. | Targeted Subgroups and Evidence Based Interventions | Identify the areas of need revealed by the analysis of academic and non-academic data that will be addressed by CSIP activiteis for your targeted subgroups. <br> What evidence based practices will the school incorporate that specifically targets the subgroups acheivement that contributed to the TSI identification? How will you monitor the evidence based practice to ensure it is implemented with fidelity? | There are two areas that we are focusing on after reviewing academic data for our SPED population. <br> Highly effective SDI along with targeted, and intentional RTI. <br> Highly effective SDI - Our teachers have been trained in the UFL <br> curriculum and the Georgia Numeracy Project. <br> Representatives from the Ohio Valley <br> Education <br> Cooperative will conduct monthly coaching visits to help support our teachers in this work. They will be offering feedback and best practices on delivering highly efffective SDI and going over implementation tools. OVEC will also provide the principal and curriculum specialist with monthly look fors that can be monitored during instructional walkthroughs and formal observations. <br> (Cummings, $E$. <br> (2022, October <br> 9). <br> Understanding specially designed instruction (SDI) in special education. <br> Behaviorist. <br> Retrieved November 22, 2022, from https://www. behaviorist. com/specially-designed-instruction-sdi-in-special- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

